Case 1:08-md-01941-GMS Document 196 Filed 07/07/11 Page 1 of 3 PageID #: 2625 Case 1:08-md-01941-GMS Document 195 Filed 06/27/11 Page 1 of 4 PageID #: 2619

IN	THE	UNIT	ED S	STATE	S DI	STRI	CT (COURT
	FOR	THE	DIS	TRICT	OF	DELA	WA	ARE

IN RE: ALFUZOSIN HYDROCHLORIDE PATENT LITIGATION	

MDL No. 08-md-1941 (GMS)

STIPULATION AND ORDER RE JUDGMENT

- 1. WHEREAS, Plaintiffs sanofi-aventis and sanofi-aventis U.S. LLC (collectively, "sanofi-aventis") filed an action for patent infringement in this Court against Teva

 Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. ("Teva") regarding Teva's Abbreviated New Drug Application No.

 79-056 ("ANDA 79-056") as filed June 12, 2007 and the proposed generic product defined therein, sanofi-aventis v. Actavis South Atlantic LLC, . . . Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 07-572 (D. Del.) (the "Teva Action"), which action was consolidated for pretrial proceedings with other related suits by the Judicial Panel of Multidistrict Litigation, In re Alfuzosin Hydrochloride Patent Litigation, MDL No. 08-md-1941 (D. Del.) (the "MDL Action").
- 2. WHEREAS, in the Teva Action, sanofi-aventis alleged that Teva's submission of ANDA 79-056 to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration and the § 505(j)(2)(A)(vii)(IV) allegations contained therein constituted infringement of U.S. Patent No. 4,661,491 ("the '491 patent") and U.S. Patent No. 6,149,940 ("the '940 Patent") in its Complaint, filed September 21, 2007.

Case 1:08-md-01941-GMS Document 196 Filed 07/07/11 Page 2 of 3 PageID #: 2626 Case 1:08-md-01941-GMS Document 195 Filed 06/27/11 Page 2 of 4 PageID #: 2620

- 3. WHEREAS, pursuant to a Stipulation and Order entered on October 14, 2009 (D.I. 127), sanofi-aventis's claims and Teva's counterclaims with respect to the '940 patent were dismissed with prejudice, the Teva Action was stayed with respect to the '491 patent, and the parties agreed that if a judgment in favor of sanofi-aventis was entered against any Defendant in the MDL Action, that stay would be lifted and such a judgment would also be entered against Teva.
- 4. WHEREAS, on August 3, 2010, the Court entered a judgment in favor of sanofiaventis and against Mylan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. in the MDL Action (D.I. 176).
- 5. WHEREAS, on November 10, 2010, the Court entered a corrected consent judgment in favor of sanofi-aventis and against Torrent Pharma, Inc. and Torrent Pharmaceuticals Ltd. in the MDL Action (D.I. 185).
- 6. WHEREAS, on December 14, 2010, sanofi-aventis moved for entry of judgment against Teva (D.I. 187), and the Court has not ruled on that motion.
- 7. WHEREAS, sanofi-aventis and Teva now are in agreement that a judgment in the form attached should be entered against Teva.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, subject to the approval of the Court, that:

- 1. that the stay of the Teva Action is lifted and a judgment in the form attached shall be entered, and
 - 2. that sanofi-aventis' motion for entry of judgment against Teva is withdrawn.

Case 1:08-md-01941-GMS Document 196 Filed 07/07/11 Page 3 of 3 PageID #: 2627 Case 1:08-md-01941-GMS Document 195 Filed 06/27/11 Page 3 of 4 PageID #: 2621

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP

/s/Jack B. Blumenfeld

Jack B. Blumenfeld (# 1014) Maryellen Noreika (# 3208) 1201 North Market Street P.O. Box 1347 Wilmington, DE 19899-1347 (302) 658-9200 jblumenfeld@mnat.com mnoreika@mnat.com jparrett@mnat.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs sanofi-aventis u.S. LLC

OF COUNSEL:

William T. Vuk KIRKLAND & ELLIS, LLP 601 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022 (212) 446-4800

DATED: June 27, 2011

SO ORDERED this

YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP

/s/Karen L. Pascale

John W. Shaw (#3362) Karen L. Pascale (#2903) The Brandywine Building 1000 West 17 St., 17th Floor P.O. Box 391 Wilmington, DE 19899-0391 (302) 571-6600 jshaw@ycst.com kpascale@ycst.com

Attorneys for Defendants Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.

OF COUNSEL:

Jeffrey D. Blake David A. Reed SUTHERLAND ASBILL & BRENNAN LLP 999 Peachtree Street Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3996 (404) 853-8000

CHIEF, UNIVED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2011.

day of Ju